

## **EUROPEAN COMMISSION**

DIRECTORATE-GENERAL
TAXATION AND CUSTOMS UNION
Direct taxation, Tax Coordination, Economic Analysis and Evaluation
Company Taxation Initiatives

Brussels, October 2014 Taxud/D1/

**DOC:** JTPF/007/2014/EN

# EU JOINT TRANSFER PRICING FORUM

Statistics on APAs at the end of 2013

Contact:

Hartmut Förster, Telephone (32-2) 29.55.511 Julia Topalova, Telephone (32-2) 29.59.311

E-mail: taxud-joint-transfer-pricing-forum@ec.europa.eu

MS	What types of Advance Pricing Agreement (APA) options are available?	Is there a filing fee for APA requests?	APAs in	umber of force at of 2013	Bilate Multilater force at t	umber of ral and al APAs in he end of 013	Unilatera force at t	umber of al APAs in he end of 113	requests	r of APA received 2013		of APAs d in 2013	Number of APA applications rejected in 2013		Number of APA applications where the taxpayer withdrew its request in 2013		Average time in months to negotiate bi- or multilateral APAs	
			EU	NON-EU	EU	NON-EU	EU	NON-EU	EU	NON-EU	EU	NON-EU	EU	NON-EU	EU	NON-EU	EU	NON-EU
Belgium	Unilateral (Advance rulings); Bilateral; Multilateral	No	7	3	7	3	(ii)	(ii)	7	3	7	1	1	(ii)	1	(ii)	24	36
Bulgaria (iii)	No regulations available at this moment																	
Czech Republic	Unilateral (Advance rulings legislation from 1 <sup>st</sup> of January 2006); Bilateral and Multilateral (possible under MAP)	Yes CZK 10 000	29	5	(iv)	(iv)	29	4	22 (v)	8 (v)	13	2	5	0	0	0	n/a	32
Denmark	Bilateral, Multilateral, Advance rulings	Yes Only for Advance Rulings; the filing fee is DKK 300.	2	10	2	10	0	0	2	3	1	3	0	1	0	0	35	11
Germany	Bilateral; Multilateral (Unilateral rulings on transfer pricing are only available under exceptional circumstances specified in a 2006 Federal Ministry of Finance circular)	Yes Generally: EUR 20 000 (15 000 for prolongation/10.000 for amendment); Smaller enterprises: EUR 10 000 (7 500/5 000); In case of hardship and specific interest of tax administration in APA: 0 €	9	12	9	12	0	0	15	12	4	6	0	0	2	1	24 (average for APAs granted 2013) / 36 (overall average for APAs granted 2011, 2012 and 2013)	38 (average for APAs granted 2013) / 43 (overall average for APAs granted 2011, 2012 and 2013)
Estonia (iii)	No APAs or advance rulings of any kind. Bilateral APAs in principle possible under MAP																	
Ireland (Republic of)	Bilateral under treaties. Multilateral to the extent that they consist of a series of bilateral agreements	No	5	5	5	5	0	0	4	3	2	3	0	0	0	1	9	30
Greece	Unilateral, bilateral, multilateral APAs.	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	n/a	n/a
Spain	Unilateral; Bilateral; Multilateral	No	44	8	7	1	37	7	20	3	10	2	1	0	2	1	20	n/a
France	Unilateral; Bilateral; Multilateral		20	27	12	21	8	6	8	10	4	4	3	0	0	0	15	25
Croatia (iii)	No APAs or advance rulings available at this moment																	

MS	What types of Advance Pricing Agreement (APA) options are available?	Is there a filing fee for APA requests?	Total Nu APAs in the end	force at	Bilater Multilater force at t		force at t	umber of al APAs in he end of 113	requests	r of APA received 2013		of APAs I in 2013	applic	r of APA cations I in 2013	Number of APA applications where the taxpayer withdrew its request in 2013		Average time in months to negotiate bi- or multilateral APAs	
			EU	NON-EU	EU	NON-EU	EU	NON-EU	EU	NON-EU	EU	NON-EU	EU	NON-EU	EU	NON-EU	EU	NON-EU
Italy	Unilateral, bilateral and multilateral APAs are available pursuant to Article 8 of Decree-Law no.269/2003, as converted into Law no.326 of 24th November 2003, and the MAP Article of the relevant Tax Treaty.	No	22	25	0	0	22	25	27	34	10	8	2	2	0	0	n/a	n/a
Cyprus (iii)	APAs are not available. Advance rulings on the interpretation/application of the tax laws are available on request																	
Latvia	Unilateral APAs	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	n/a	n/a
Lithuania	APA legislation from 01/01/2012. Unilateral, Bilateral or Multilateral APAs, Advance rulings	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	n/a	n/a
Luxembourg	Unilateral, bilateral, multilateral APAs.	No	119	0	0	0	119	0	204	data not submitted	117	0	0	0	2	0	n/a	n/a
Hungary	Unilateral, bilateral, multilateral APAs.	Yes The filing fee for unilateral APA is HUF 500 000 - HUF 5 million, if the arm's length price can be determined with the CUP, Resale price method or Cost plus method and HUF 2 million - HUF 7 million if the arm's length price can be determined by using other methods. The fee for bilateral APA is HUF 3 million - HUF 8 million, for multinational APA HUF 5 million - HUF 10 million.	47	11	0	0	47	11	12	8	10	5	0	0	0	0	n/a	n/a
Malta (iii)	No formal rules yet																	
Netherlands	Unilateral; Bilateral; Multilateral; Advance rulings	No	(vi)	(vi)	(vi)	(vi)	(vi)	(vi)	323	(vii)	228	(vii)	5	(vii)	67	(vii)	24	24
Austria	Unilateral (Advance rulings); Bilateral; Multilateral	For unilateral yes, for bilateral/multilateral - no	<i>(i)</i>	<i>(i)</i>	2	1	(i)	(i)	<i>(i)</i>	(i)	<i>(i)</i>	(i)	<i>(i)</i>	(i)	(i)	<i>(i)</i>	43	59

## Statistics on APAs: situation prevailing on 31/12/2013

What types of Advanc Pricing Agreement (APA) options are available?		Is there a filing fee for APA requests?		umber of force at of 2013	Bilater Multilatera force at the	imber of ral and al APAs in ne end of 13	Unilatera force at t	umber of al APAs in the end of 113	requests	r of APA received 2013		of APAs I in 2013	applic	r of APA eations I in 2013	application the tax withday	r of APA ons where xpayer rew its in 2013	Average time in months to negotiate bi- or multilateral APAs	
			EU	NON-EU	EU	NON-EU	EU	NON-EU	EU	NON-EU	EU	NON-EU	EU	NON-EU	EU	NON-EU	EU	NON-EU
Poland	Unilateral; Bilateral; Multilateral	Yes The filing fee is 1% of the value of a transaction with the following provisions: for domestic unilateral agreement (i.e. involving only domestic related entities) - no less then PLN 5,000 and no more than PLN 50,000; for unilateral agreement concerning foreign entities no less than PLN 20,000 and no more than PLN 100,000; for foreign bilateral or multilateral the fee amounts should be no less than PLN 50,000 and no more than PLN 20,000. Renewal fees are half of the amount of the original filing fee.	13	6	1	1	12	5	6	4	1	3	0	0	0	0	7,5	33,5
Portugal	The Tax Code on CIT (Art. 138) and the Ministerial Order n.º 620-A/2008, 16 July, allow unilateral, bilateral and multilateral APAs	Yes The filing fee is variable according to the taxpayer's turnover. The minimum fee is EUR 3 152,40 and the maximum - EUR 34 915,85. Renewal fees are 50 % of the amounts of the original fees.	1	1	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	n/a	n/a
Romania	Unilateral; Bilateral; Multilateral; Advance rulings.	Unilateral; Bilateral; Multilateral; Advance rulings.	4		0		4		8		0		0		0		No bi- or multilateral APA issued so far.	
Slovenia (iii)	No APAs or advance rulings of any kind																	
Slovak Republic	Unilateral, bilateral, multilateral APAs.	No	14	1	0	0	14	1	13	3	9	1	2	0	4	1	n/a	n/a
Finland	Advance rulings (unilateral APA). Bilateral and multilateral APA's are possible according to the tax treaties concluded by Finland.	Yes Based on the complexity of the case and actual time needed to conclude the ruling, the filing fee can exceed to EUR 1.630 or EUR 2.440.	14	7	0	0	14	7	28	10	14	7	1	0	6	0	n/a	n/a
Sweden	APA legislation from 1 January 2010. Only bilateral or multilateral APAs.	Yes 150,000 SEK per country (Prolongation fee 100,000-125,000 SEK)	0	1	0	1	0	0	8	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	40	40
UK (viii)	Unilateral; Bilateral	No	17	56	15	33	2	23	10	32	6	21	0	0	2	2	23	31
Total			367	178	60	88	309	90	720	139	436	67	20	3	86	6		

- (i) Data not available.
- (ii) The number of these APAs cannot be provided. However, all rulings are available at: http://www.ruling.be/indexNL.html via the link www.fisconetplus.be.
- (iii) Not applicable as there is currently no APA programme.
- (iv) No bi- or multilateral APA has been concluded yet.
- (v) Some of the requests cannot be broken into 2 columns because e.g. one request covers more transactions (both with EU and non-EU). Those requests are counted as EU only.
- (vi) Not administered.
- (vii) Split EU & non-EU not administered.
  - The UK figures have been computed for the y/e 31/03/2013. The UK figures also reflect the way that UK counts APAs (which differs from the definitions provided with the questionnaire), i.e. an APAs is counted as one case irrespective of the number of UK entities that may be party to the relevant agreement.

### Guidance on the completion of the APA questionnaire

The questionnaire aims to collect information about APAs for companies and PEs.

The reference year for this document is 2013 (situation prevailing at the end of 2013).

Most of the columns are broken down into APAs between EU MS and non-EU countries.

#### The terms used in the table should be understood as follows:

"APA in force": an APA is considered as "in force" when it was granted before the end of the reference year (e.g. 2013) and the agreement's term covers the reference year. Therefore, an APA granted during the reference year (N year) but starting in N+1 year is not counted as "in force at the end of the reference period". The figure in the column "total number of APAs in force" should be the result of adding the following two columns: bi- and multilateral APAs in force and unilateral APAs in force.

Counting of APAs: If an APA covers transactions with more than one company of the MNE in the respective MS (e.g. Subsidiaries A and B in MS X each having transactions with parent company P in State Y covered by the APA), each APA should be counted (here 2 APAs in MS X).

Counting of multilateral APAs: A multilateral APA should be considered as several bilateral APAs and should also be counted that way for statistical purposes, i.e. a multilateral APA signed by member State A, member State B and member State C is counted, from the perspective of State A, as a bilateral APA between A and B, a bilateral APA between A and C and therefore as two APAs. Likewise, a request for such a multilateral APA is counted as two requests.

"Requests received": an APA request should be counted as received in the year the formal written APA request was filed. The term "APA request" has a meaning in line with section 4.52 and 4.53 of the EU JTPF APA Guidelines, i.e. a formal application supplemented with appropriate information. Pre-filing requests are therefore not considered.

- "APA granted": an APA is considered as granted
- when the Competent Authority(ies) has (have) formally agreed to the APA, whatever form this formal agreement takes (exchange of letters, signature of the agreement, ...) and
- all the subsequent formal proceedings that may be required are fulfilled (e.g. a formal agreement by the taxpayer or an advance ruling granted to the taxpayer).
- That is, if a bilateral APA was signed by the Competent Authorities in year N and e.g. the implementing domestic advance ruling or the taxpayer's agreement was only granted in N + 1, the APA is counted as granted in N + 1<sup>2</sup>.
- 2) Mismatches may result both from different member States' approaches (e.g. one MS' reference date is that of the closing letter and for the other MS, it is that of the taxpayer's agreement) and also from the internal implementation in a different year of the proposed approach. Although these discrepancies are considered as tolerable for the purpose of these statistics, CAs may want to avoid them by informing each other about subsequent proceedings and agree on the date they consider the APA as finally granted.
- "APA applications rejected": an APA is considered as rejected when an APA application is not accepted by the tax administration or negotiations to reach a bilateral or multilateral APA failed and therefore no APA was granted.
- "Average time in months to negotiate the APAs": this column indicates on average the period between the time an APA request was received and the formal agreement of the APA. Unilateral APAs are excluded from the calculations.