



**EUROPEAN COMMISSION**  
DIRECTORATE-GENERAL  
TAXATION AND CUSTOMS UNION  
Customs Policy, Legislation, Tariff  
**Customs systems & IT operations**

Brussels,  
taxud.r.1(2015) 6598101

**Subject: Call for tenders TAXUD/2015/AO-03 (ITSM3 Operations) – replies to questions**

Dear Madam, Dear Sir,

Enclosed you will find the replies to the questions received from 17/12/2015 up to 21/12/2015 (questions 7 to 11).

This letter is being posted on the website of the Directorate-General for Taxation and Customs Union, at the following URL (“Questions & Answers” section):

[http://ec.europa.eu/taxation\\_customs/common/tenders\\_grants/tenders/ao\\_2015\\_03\\_en.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs/common/tenders_grants/tenders/ao_2015_03_en.htm)

Questions received subsequently will be answered in further letters which will be placed regularly on the same website. Prospective tenderers are invited to monitor this site attentively.

As mentioned in the invitation letter (ref. Ares(2015)5447663 dated 30/11/2015) published with the tender documents, the contracting authority is not bound to reply to requests for additional information received less than six working days before the closing date for submission of tenders (15/03/2016).

Yours faithfully,

*(e-signed)*  
Paul-Hervé Theunissen  
Head of Unit

### **Question no. 1**

Reference: Annex 11 – Baseline. When attempting to download the documents from CIRCABC, we encountered the following issues:

- Some people have difficulties to log on to CIRCABC (authentication failure) while giving the correct username/password. The site seems to be unstable, providing access randomly.
- The "clipboard" limitation of CIRCA (50 MB) prevents to download most of the folders or sub-folders. It means that, for folders exceeding 50 MB, people have to download documents one by one. What is extremely time consuming.

Therefore, for convenience reasons, would it be possible to:

- Increase the clip level of 50 MB when downloading folders up to 500 MB per download.
- or to provide all baseline documentation on a DVD-ROM, as you did for ITSM II.

### **Reply**

In order to facilitate the download of the documents in the baseline DG TAXUD has made available an ftp service. To access this service you need to connect using the following coordinates:

**Server address:** `fts.ec.europa.eu`

**Username:** `itsm3`

**Password:** `6VxzMELbLM`

### **Question no. 2**

We noticed you published the ITSM3 Operations tender. With ITSM2 there was a separate lot for QA activities. Do you intend to launch a separate FWC for QA, or are QA activities meant to be part of the offer of the participants?

### **Reply**

Please refer to chapter 2 of the tendering specifications.

### **Question no. 3**

Could you please clarify if DG-TAXUD considers that the participation of a company to an existing and/or future DG-TAXUD contracts, such as other lots of ITSM2, their equivalent in ITSM3, CUSTDEV3, FITSDEV3, CCN2DEV and QA3 contracts, generates a conflict of interest with the attribution of the ITSM3 operation contract to the same company?

### **Reply**

The service delivery under the ITSM3 'IT service management, infrastructure and operations' framework contract must be segregated from any existing contracts with DG TAXUD (with the exception of ITSM2 Lot 1), at the level of the management, control, quality assurance and operational activities. The tenderer is invited to provide the detail

of the steps he proposes to take to ensure this tight segregation should it be involved - even partially - in an existing contract with DG TAXUD. DG TAXUD will reject the tender in case those steps are not providing the necessary segregation with the existing contract. Segregation for future contracts will be addressed at the time of publication of the relevant calls for tenders.

#### **Question no. 4**

Reference: Annex 1 - Questionnaire - page 3 – Subcontracting. On this page, you wrote "All other relevant information will have to be provided under section 4.3.3 and Attachments 3 and 4 of this questionnaire". However, we do not find section 4.3.3, nor Attachment 4. Could you please check and let us know if it is a clerical error.

#### **Reply**

Please read section 4.2.3 and Attachments 2 and 3 instead of section 4.3.3 and Attachments 3 and 4 of this questionnaire.

#### **Question no. 5**

Reference: Annex 5 - Declaration of honour on exclusion criteria and selection criteria. On page 3 of the Annex 1 - Questionnaire - Subcontracting, you wrote "These companies must fill in Sections 1 and 2 of this Questionnaire for assessment" and also "If a sole tenderer or a tendering group intends also to rely on the economic and financial capacity of the subcontractor(s), the subcontractor(s) also have to fill in Section 3 (except bullet point 4)."

Section 2 relates to the Declaration of honour on exclusion criteria and selection criteria. If we do not rely on the economic and financial capacity of our subcontractor(s), the subcontractor(s) does(do) not have to fill in Section 3.

In that respect, can you clarify how our subcontractor(s) have to act to be compliant while filling in page 5 of the Declaration of honour on exclusion criteria and selection criteria because they do not have to fill in Sections 3 and 4 of the questionnaire ?

More specifically regarding the following statements in section (6) of the Declaration of honour:

- (1) It has the legal and regulatory capacity to pursue the professional activity needed for performing the contract as required in section 4 of the questionnaire;
- (2) It fulfils the applicable economic and financial criteria indicated in section 3 of the questionnaire;
- (3) It fulfils the applicable technical and professional criteria indicated in section 4 of the questionnaire.

#### **Reply**

In case of subcontracting:

- If the tenderer does not intend to rely on the economic and financial capacity of the subcontractor(s), then section 3 of the questionnaire does not need to be answered for that(these) subcontractor(s).

In that respect, section 6(a) and (b) of annex 5 (declaration on honour on exclusion criteria and absence of conflict of interest) are no applicable for that (these) subcontractor(s).

- If the tenderer does not intend to rely on the technical and professional capacity of the subcontractor(s), then section 4 of the questionnaire does not need to be answered for that (these) subcontractor(s).

In that respect section 6(c) of annex 5 (declaration on honour on exclusion criteria and absence of conflict of interest) is no applicable for that (these) subcontractor(s).

### **Question no. 6**

In the tender documentation the “Annex11\_baseline” contains a list of documents. Can tenderers have access to the documents listed in this baseline? If yes, how to gain access?

### **Reply**

Please refer to page 29 of the Terms Of Reference and the reply to Question no. 1.

### **Question no. 7**

Reference: Questionnaire Annex1, Chapter 3, page 9, point 4. Turnover for 3 years is asked, but only 2 respective numbers are given. How do we have to understand this?

### **Reply**

For each of the last 3 financial years, the overall (global) turnover must be provided. This overall (global) turnover must be equal to or higher than 92 M€ per year. For the same financial years, the turnover for services similar to the services in the scope of this call for tenders must be provided; this turnover must be equal to or higher than 46 M€ per year.

### **Question no. 8**

Reference: Price Table Annex 3, sheet 'Profiles'. The automatic amount reported from the sheet 'Profiles' to the sheet 'ITSM3 Operations' calculates the cumulated cost for all defined profiles and NOT the expected blended cost, i.e. an average man-day cost. Average is only provided between proximity extramuros and extramuros, not between the various profiles. Please clarify or confirm that our understanding is correct and provide updated price table.

### **Reply**

We confirm that the price sheet is correct. The mentioned automatic amount reported from the sheet 'Profiles' (cell E62) is an average between the "proximity extramuros" and "extramuros" profile values in cells E60 and F60. These two cells are themselves each an average of the "proximity extramuros" and "extramuros" prices respectively and not a cumulated value.

### **Question no. 9**

Reference: Technical Annex 2a, para 10.10.50 page 157. SQI5-050 refers as unit of measurement 20 key functions but the section to which the footnote refers is missing. Our understanding is that it should refer to para 9.1.1 "Key roles for continuous services", but 42 key roles instead of 20 are listed, whose 18 are non-junior. Please

clarify and provide us with the list of the 20 key functions initially considered by Taxud as Unit of measurement of the SQI

**Reply**

There is a mistake in the definition of SQI-050 in section 10.10.50 of the Technical Annex (Annex 2a). The Unit of Measurements should read as follows:

Unit of Measurement of the SQI	<p>This SQI will measure the occurrence of one of the following events:</p> <p>(1) The <b>42</b> key functions<sup>1</sup> of the Takeover team are not staffed by full time staff 1 month after the start of the first SC;</p> <p>(2) The <b>42</b> key functions have a turnover of more than 20% (<b>8</b> people) over a 12 months sliding window.</p>
--------------------------------	--

**Question no. 10**

Reference: Questionnaire Annex1, para 5.3.1 page 22 and Technical Annex 2a, para 9.1.1 page 120. The questionnaire is speaking about "Business Thread Liaison Taxation" when the technical annex is introducing "Business Thread Liaison Direct Taxation" and "Business Thread Liaison Indirect Taxation". Please clarify

**Reply**

The correct list of Key Roles is that provided in the Technical Annex (Annex 2a) section 9.1.1. This list is not correctly transposed to the Questionnaire section 5.3.1 where the Key Roles associated to Taxation should be corrected as follows:

The Role

Business Thread Liaison Taxation	
----------------------------------	--

Should be replaced by the following three roles:

Business Thread Liaison Direct Taxation	
Business Thread Liaison Indirect Taxation	
Business Thread Liaison Recovery of Claims	

And the following four roles should be added:

Application SPOC Direct Taxation	
Application SPOC Indirect Taxation	
Application SPOC Excise	
Application SPOC Recovery of Claims	

**A new version of the questionnaire has been published on the website.**

<sup>1</sup> Based on the organisation proposed in the **ITSM2 Operations** contractor's bid and respecting the key roles specified on section .

**Question no. 11**

Reference: Questionnaire Annex1, p. 16-25. In the questionnaire we do not find a maximum amount of pages allowed per answer. Do we need to understand that there a no page limits for the questions from the Award Criteria ?

**Reply**

As indicated in section 6.3.5.3 of the Guidebook for Tenderers (Annex 4), replies to the questions in the questionnaire must be concise and refer to the relevant sections of your bid where you can further develop the replies.