

Oirective on the Mandatory Disclosure of Potentially Aggressive Tax Planning Arrangements

5th Amendment to the DAC



The New Initiative

Policy objective

To increase the effectiveness of tax authorities in tackling cross-border tax avoidance and evasion in the internal market

How?

- By reporting potentially aggressive tax planning schemes to the tax authorities &
- Sharing information with all Member States
- Expected outcome: deterrent effect
- This proposal amends the DAC



International Context

OECD

- ❖ BEPS Action 12 (2015)
 - Compilation of features of national regimes worldwide
 - No minimum standards
 - No best practice recommendations
- ❖ Mandatory Disclosure Rules for Addressing CRS Avoidance Arrangements and Opaque Offshore Structures (2018)
- 3 national regimes: IE, PT, UK.



Main Concepts

- Cross-border Arrangements that include at least one indicator – 'Hallmark' – are reportable
 - Only cross-border arrangements are reportable:
 - Two or more Member States; or
 - One Member State and a third country
 - An arrangement includes a series of arrangements & comprises steps or parts.
 - Marketable & Bespoke Arrangements



Main Concepts

- The hallmarks determine what arrangements are reportable
 - There is no presumption of tax avoidance
 - ❖ The authorities may investigate whether there is an illegitimate tax practice based on the information
- No action by the authorities does not imply acceptance of validity or the tax treatment
- Taxes covered coincide with the scope of the DAC



Personal Scope – Who is liable to report?

- Primary reporting obligation lies with the Intermediary.
 Who is an Intermediary?
- Conditions for qualifying as an Intermediary
- The reporting obligation is shifted to the Relevant Taxpayer if:
 - No intermediary (in-house schemes)
 - Waiver (legal professional privilege)
 - Outside the EU



Personal Scope - Who is liable to report?

- What happens where there is more than one Intermediary?
- Joint and several liability all intermediaries involved in the same reportable cross-border arrangement
- unless evidence that the same information has been filed by another intermediary



Personal Scope - Who is liable to report?

- Waiver due to Legal Professional Privilege
- Obligation to notify any other intermediary or, if there is no such intermediary, the Relevant taxpayer of their disclosure obligations
- Professional secrecy rules remain national



Personal Scope - Who is liable to report?

- What happens where there is more than one Relevant Taxpayer?
- Information to be filed by the Relevant Taxpayer that agreed the arrangement with the Intermediary;
- Or if there is no such Taxpayer, the Relevant Taxpayer that manages the implementation of the arrangement.
- Each Relevant Taxpayer shall report annually that it keeps using a certain arrangement.



Timing – When is the reporting due?

- Intermediaries & Taxpayers: within 30 days beginning:
 - On the day after the reportable arrangement is made available for implementation; or
 - On the day after the reportable arrangement is ready for implementation; or
 - When the first step in implementing the arrangement has been made.
- For marketable arrangements, periodic reporting every 3 months – clients' list update



Where is the reporting due?

- Intermediaries shall file information with the competent authorities of the Member State that features first:
 - Their tax residence;
 - There is a PE linked to the arrangement;
 - Incorporated or governed by the laws of;
 - Registered with a professional association.



Where is the reporting due?

- Relevant taxpayers shall file information with the competent authorities of the Member State that features first:
 - Their tax residence;
 - There is a PE that benefits from the arrangement;
 - They receive income or generate profits in a Member State although they are not tax resident or have a PE in any Member State;
 - ❖ They carry on an activity in one or more Member State although they are not tax resident or have a PE in any Member State.



Exchange of Information

- The disclosed information is exchanged automatically
- Exchanges take place within one month after each quarter of the year in which information was filed
- It is made available to all Member States on a Central Directory set up by the Commission
- The Commission has limited access to this information in order to monitor the functioning of the Directive



Penalties

- Design is left to Member States national law
- Penalties shall be **effective**, **proportionate** & **dissuasive**



Hallmarks (i)

- Generic hallmarks & some of the specific ones require an additional "main benefit" test;
- A tax advantage is the main benefit or one of the main benefits which,
 having regard to all relevant facts and circumstances,
 a person may reasonably expect to derive from an arrangement.



Hallmarks (ii)

- Generic hallmarks + "main benefit" test
 - Premium or contingency fee fixed by reference to the amount of the tax advantage (incl. refunds)
 - ❖ Marketable arrangements: substantially standardised documentation and/or structure which are available to more than one relevant taxpayer without a need to be customised.



Hallmarks (iii)

- Hallmarks B.1 B.3 + "main benefit" test
 - Use of (cross-border) losses to obtain a tax advantage
 - Round-tripping of funds
 - Converting income into other categories of revenue taxed at lower level



Hallmarks (iv)

- Hallmark C.1(b)(i)&(ii) + "main benefit" test
 - Cross-border payments
 - between associated enterprises
 - Source: deductible
 - Recipient's tax residence:
 - □ (i) No corporate tax or corporate tax at zero rate or almost zero rate; or
 - □ (ii) On a list of non-cooperative jurisdictions



Hallmarks (v)

- Hallmarks C.1(c)&(d) + "main benefit" test
 - Cross-border payments
 - between associated enterprises
 - ❖ Source: deductible
 - Recipient's tax residence: (c) full tax exemption &
 - (d) preferential tax regime



Hallmarks (vi)

- Hallmarks C.2 C.4
 - Same depreciation claimed on a specific asset in more than one jurisdiction
 - Cross-border transfers of assets & there is a material difference in the amount treated as payable in consideration for the assets in the jurisdictions involved



Hallmarks (vii)

- Hallmark D.1 & D.2 AEoI & Beneficial Ownership
 - Arrangements that may have the effect of undermining the reporting obligation
 - ☐ under DAC 2 or
 - □ any equivalent international agreements, incl. with 3rd countries or
 - By taking advantage of the absence of legislation or agreements



Hallmarks (viii)

- Hallmarks E.1 E.3 Transfer Pricing
 - Unilateral safe harbour rules
 - **❖** Hard-to-value-intangibles
 - ❖ Business re-organisations leading to BEPS (impact on the projected EBIT)



Information to the Commission

- Information for evaluating effectiveness in combating tax avoidance and evasion (Art. 23(2))
- Yearly assessment re AEoI (Art. 23(3)): effectiveness and results achieved
- Statistical data for evaluation of the Directive (Art. 23(4))



Retroactivity

- Unlike DAC 3, there is **no retroactive effect**
 - However:
- The reporting obligation includes arrangements the first step of which was implemented after the Directive enters into force.
- Information to be reported by 31st August 2020.
- Existing arrangements become reportable if they are modified subsequently



Important Dates

- By 31st December 2019: national transposition measures
- From 1st July 2020: application
- By 31st October 2020: first (regular) AEoI
- Every 5 years after 1st January 2013: Commission report
- Every 2 years after 1st July 2020: evaluation of the relevance of the Hallmarks – Commission report – (possibly) legislative proposal.
- Implementing Acts: Standard forms before 30th June 2019;
 Central Directory by 31st Dec. 2019