

# COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION on making State financial support to undertakings in the Union conditional on the absence of links to non-cooperative jurisdictions

DG TAXUD - Unit D1: Company Taxation Initiatives

Brussels, 15 September 2020

# Outline

- Rationale
- Subject-Matter & Scope
- Legal Aspects
- « Negative » Conditions
- Additional Restrictions
- Carve-outs
- Implementation, enforcement & follow-up



#### Why this Recommendation?

- Commission: clear stance against tax havens in recent years
- Unprecedented action at national and Union level to support MS' economies and facilitate recovery
- State Aid under the Temporary Framework
- Action to prevent misuse of public financing (due to the volume of financial support)
- Solutions in a coordinated fashion to tackle tax avoidance, fraud and discrepancies



#### Subject-Matter & Scope

- Any type of State financial assistance available to all undertakings or selective measures that amount to State Aid within the Temporary Framework (2020)
- Applies within groups of controlled entities; test for control to be determined under national law
- No limitation to specific sectors of the economy



#### Legal Aspects

- Recommendation: autonomous Act of the Commission
- Legal base: Article 292 TFEU
- Addressed to all Member States
- No legally binding effect
- Joint initiative with DG.COMP
- Refers always to latest update of Annex I (EU List)



## « Negative » Conditions for receiving Financial Support

#### The undertaking should **not**:

- ✓ Be tax resident in, or incorporated under the laws of, a listed jurisdiction;
- ✓ Be, directly or indirectly, controlled by shareholders up to the beneficial owner – at least one of which in listed jurisdictions;
- ✓ Have in its direct or indirect control subsidiaries or permanent establishments in listed jurisdictions;
- ✓ Be under common ownership with undertakings in EU listed jurisdictions.



#### « Additional » Disqualifying Restrictions

- Possible additional grounds for refusing financial support:
- The undertaking (or its owners) have been **convicted** by final judgment to any of the **crimes** laid down in the Public Procurement Directive;
- The undertaking (or its owners) have been found, by final judgment or administrative decision, in breach of obligations relating to the payment of taxes or social security contributions



#### Carve-outs

- MS may disregard the existence of links with a listed jurisdiction where:
  - ✓ The undertaking pays tax at an adequate level in the MS granting the support over a period of time; or
  - ✓ The undertaking makes legally binding commitments to remove its ties to EU listed jurisdictions within a short timeframe and subject to sanctions.
- MS should disregard the existence of such links where the undertaking has substantial economic presence and performs substantial activity.
- Carve-outs to be refused if: no EOIR and lack of Cooperation



#### Implementation & Enforcement

- Member States should lay down reasonable requirements for evidencing entitlement:
  - ✓ Self-certifications to be complemented with enhanced audits/controls and use of available tools (CbCR, BO info, CRS)
  - ✓ Effective, proportionate and dissuasive **sanctions**, including the recovery of unduly granted support (as a minimum)
  - ✓ In case of carve-outs, enhanced verification



#### Follow-Up

- MS to inform the Commission about measures that they took if any to address the Recommendation
- Commission to publish Report on the application by 14 July 2023



## Keep in touch



ec.europa.eu/



europeancommission



**EUTube** 



europa.eu/



@EuropeanCommission



**EU Spotify** 



@EU\_Commission



@EuropeanCommission



**European Commission** 



@EU\_TAXUD



#### © European Union 2020

 Unless otherwise noted the reuse of this presentation is authorised under the CC BY 4.0 license.

