



EUROPEAN COMMISSION
DIRECTORATE-GENERAL
TAXATION AND CUSTOMS UNION
Customs Policy, Legislation, Tariff
Customs systems & IT Operations

Brussels,
taxud.r.1(2016) 6448459

Subject: Call for tenders TAXUD/2016/AO-02 (ITSM3 Integration) – replies to questions

Dear Madam, Dear Sir,

Enclosed you will find the reply to the question received on 12/10/2016 (question 11).

This letter is being posted on the website of the Directorate-General for Taxation and Customs Union, at the following URL (“Questions & Answers” section):

https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs/calls-tenders-grants-calls-expression-interest/calls-tenders/taxud2016ao02_en

Questions received subsequently will be answered in further letters which will be placed regularly on the same website. Prospective tenderers are invited to monitor this site attentively.

As mentioned in the invitation letter (ref. Ares(2016)5429448 date 19/09/2016) published with the tender documents, requests for additional information received less than six working days before the closing date for submission of tenders, i.e. after 07/12/2016, will not be processed.

Yours faithfully,

Paul-Hervé Theunissen
Head of Unit

Question no. 1

We noticed that the ITSM3 Integration tender does not include the technical annex (Annex 2, see below). Will this be published on a later stage or can you send us this if it is already available?

Reply

Annex 2: Technical Annex was indeed missing but was available for downloading from the website shortly after your request (i.e. the document was available at 15:20 on 19/09/2016).

Question no. 2

Apparently Annex2 for the aforementioned CFT is missing. Will this annex be available momentarily?

Reply

See the reply to Question 1

Question no. 3

For this CFT, will it be possible to use the automatic follow up with TED (eTendering - My calls for tenders) or all amendments and Q&A will be available via the main TAXUD CFT page only?

Reply

All questions and answers and/or any potential amendments to the procurement documents will be published only on the website indicated on page 1 of the present letter.

Question no. 4

Les documents de l'appel d'offres référencé en objet ne sont pas disponibles à l'adresse mentionnée :https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs/calls-tenders-grants-calls-expression-interest/calls-tenders_en

le message d'erreur est le suivant : « There are currently some technical problems with this website and some links may be broken. We are working to resolve the problem.»
Quand pensez-vous que le service sera disponible ?

EN :

The documents of the call for tenders as referred to in subject are not available at the address provided: https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs/calls-tenders-grants-calls-expression-interest/calls-tenders_en

The error message is the following: "There are currently some technical problems with this website and some links may be broken. We are working to resolve the problem."
When do you think the service is going to be available?

Reply

Tous les documents de cet appel d'offres sont disponibles sur le site (https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs/calls-tenders-grants-calls-expression-interest/calls-tenders_en, section "current calls for tenders" – "IT service management for IT systems integration of the Directorate-General for Taxation and Customs Union ('ITSM3 Integration)'" ou par son lien direct indiqué sur la première page de la présente lettre) depuis le 19/09/2016.

Le message "There are currently some technical problems with this website and some links may be broken. We are working to resolve the problem." n'a aucun impact pour l'appel d'offres ITSM3 Integration.

EN :

All procurement documents for this call for tenders are available on the website (https://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs/calls-tenders-grants-calls-expression-interest/calls-tenders_en, section "current calls for tenders"– "IT service management for IT systems integration of the Directorate-General for Taxation and Customs Union ('ITSM3 Integration)'" or via the direct link indicated on page 1 of the present letter) as from 19/09/2016..

The message "There are currently some technical problems with this website and some links may be broken. We are working to resolve the problem." has no impact on the call for tenders ITSM3 Integration.

Question no. 5

We would like to consider a subcontractor from a country which is a non GPA member.

- (1) What is the max percentage of the extramuros work which we can consider for a subcontractor from a non GPA member country in order to be compliant with the tender rules ?
- (2) Are we obliged to provide any proof regarding social standards for a subcontractor from a non GPA country in the call for tender ?

Reply

- (1) There is no maximum percentage. However, the use of subcontractors from countries which are non GPA member cannot be used as a way to de facto bypass the rules set out in the Agreement on Government Procurement (GPA agreement under the umbrella of the WTO agreement). Please also refer to Article II.10 of the model contract (Annex 9 of the procurement documents).
- (2) No. However, as indicated in the Questionnaire (Annex 1 of the procurement documents) :
 - subcontractors must submit a letter of intent to collaborate as subcontractor(s) in the call for tenders (page 3 of Annex 1 Questionnaire)
 - subcontractors must complete section 1.3 of the Questionnaire
 - contractors and subcontractors must complete and sign Annex 5 "Declaration on honour on exclusion criteria and selection criteria" which includes –

amongst others - the respect of obligations relating to the payment of social security contributions.

Please also refer to Article II.10 of the model contract (Annex 9 of the procurement documents).

Question no. 6

In the baseline document called “Annex 10 Baseline”, you present documents available on CIRCABC concerning project descriptions of DG TAXUD. Is it possible to have access to these documents, and if yes, could you provide us with the link on CIRCABC?

Reply

Access to the Baseline is specified in the Technical Annex, Section 2.1. References, on page 21, under the title 'The Baseline (BL)'.

Question no. 7

In Annex 1 Questionnaire, p. 20, questions related to Quality assurance and control mechanism: Question number 4.6.3 is a table concerning ISO standards, question 4.6.4 presents Maturity level. Within and related to this Maturity level question, 4.6.4.1 asks to precise quality procedures with regard to EN29000, quality management. Is this numbering/structure correct, or should this question rather go under 4.6.3?

Reply

In order to make it clearer, Section 4.6 "Quality assurance and control mechanisms" of Annex 1 – Questionnaire has been rewritten as follows:

4.6 Quality assurance and control mechanisms

(In case of a joint offer, the required information should be provided for each company.)

4.6.1. Compliance with ISO standards or equivalent

Have you provided certificates of compliance for each of the relevant organisational entities that you propose to be involved in the delivery and service provision for this contract:	Yes/No	
ISO standard (or equivalent, to be specified)	Yes/No	Reference:
ISO 20000-2:2005		
ISO 27001.2005		
ISO 27002.2005		
ISO 9000		
Others (add as necessary)		

4.6.2 Maturity level

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Have you provided a recent and dated report of maturity scan/measurement of the processes of relevance for the deliverable and services provision for this contract ? 	Yes/No	Reference:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If yes, have you provided the reference to the method used to measure this maturity level ? 	Yes/No	Reference:

4.6.3 Methodology

Have you provided all the elements requested in the table below? Fill accordingly the “Yes/No” and reference boxes in regard of each requested entry.

The tenderer must provide:		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A description of the methods and standards that he relies on to offer the services in his catalogue 	Yes/No	Reference:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A description of the processes that he relies on to offer the services in his catalogue 	Yes/No	Reference:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A description of his system for quality management, quality assurance and continuous improvement 	Yes/No	Reference:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A description of his system for risk & security management 	Yes/No	Reference:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A description of the control mechanisms that he intends to put in place to ensure the quality of the services 	Yes/No	Reference:

Please ensure that you have provided the title and contents list of your relevant manuals.

A new version of Annex 1 Questionnaire has been published in the Questions & Answers" section on the website (URL indicated on page 1 of this letter).

Question no. 8

In Annex 1 Questionnaire, p. 19, questions related to Quality assurance and control mechanism, 4.6.1 asks to describe our procedures to ascertain the quality of the services we deliver to clients, and under 4.6.5 (p. 21) we should describe our system for quality management, quality assurance and continuous improvement. Could you precise the main differences between those two questions?

Reply

Please see the Reply to Question no7

Question no. 9

Annex 1 Questionnaire, Section 5 “Award Criteria”. Could you please confirm there is no maximum number of pages per question?

If yes, our understanding is that (1) Cover page and table of contents do not count towards the page limitations, and, (2) Annexes are permitted.

Could you please confirm our understanding is correct?

Reply

There is no limitation to the number of pages necessary to answer the questions listed in Section 5 "Award Criteria" of the Questionnaire.

Question no. 10

Annex 1 Questionnaire, Section 4 “Selection of the tenderer/Technical and professional capacity”. We noticed that there are several incoherence in the numbering of the questions.

For instance, in page 20, “4.6.2. Quality standards certifications” there is no question under this section. Moreover, question 4.6.4.1., regarding quality standards, is under section 4.6.4. “Maturity level”.

Could you please clarify?

Reply

Please see the Reply to Question no7

Question no. 11

In document 2016ao02_a1_questionnaire_v2; question # 4.5 References in relation to similar projects/contracts (pg 18)

It is not mentioned explicitly whether this question should be answered by the tendering group or by each company.

Reply

In the case of a joint offer, the required information should be provided for the tendering group as a whole.

Question no. 12

Is the assumption correct that the amounts of 12 Euro and 6 Euro which are mentioned in the questionnaire V2 (page 12, item 4 in the table) are incorrect, and need to be €12M and €6M?

Reply

Your assumption is correct.

In Section 3, point 4, the first sentence should read: A statement of the overall turnover and the turnover related to the scope of the contract, during each of the last three financial years, which establish that they are equal or superior to **€12M and €6M** respectively.

Question no. 13

On page 146 of the Technical Annex Task 9.1 is mentioned as being a Fixed Price, while on page 147, it is mentioned that P.9.1 up to P.9.3 are all priced as On Demand services. Which of the 2 statements is correct?

Reply

All tasks in Service Block 9 are priced as On-Demand Services.

Therefore section 6.9 Service Block 9: Other Deliverables and Services, on page 146 of the Technical Annex, should read:

/.../

- Task 9.1 - Trainings, Workshops and Demonstrations – (cf. Section 6.9.1.1) – **(OD)**
- Task 9.2 - Service Improvement Initiatives – (cf. Section 6.9.1.2) – **(OD)**
- Task 9.3 - Other ad hoc services and deliverables in the scope of **ITSM3 Integration** – (cf. Section 6.9.1.3) – **(OD)**