

#### **PUBLIC CONSULTATION PAPER**

#### <u>Double Tax Conventions and the Internal Market: factual examples</u> <u>of double taxation cases</u>

Identification of the stakeholder – for individual taxpayers			
Surname:		Name:	
(See also privacy and Neither your name nor y	•	•	
1. Do you agree to hawith other responses?		e to the consultat	ion published along
□ Yes	□ No		
2. Do you agree to hato the consultation? *	ıving your name ı	mentioned as one	of the contributors
□ Yes	□ No	)	
3. In which country ar	e you a resident?	*	
□ Austria	□ Finland	□ Latvia	□ Romania
□ Belgium	□ France	□ Lithuania	□ Slovakia
□ Bulgaria	☐ Germany	□ Luxembourg	□ Slovenia
□ Cyprus	□ Greece	□ Malta	□ Spain
☐ Czech Republic	☐ Hungary	□ Netherlands	□ Sweden
□ Denmark	□ Ireland	□ Poland	☐ United Kingdom
□ Estonia	□ Italy	□ Portugal	□ Other

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All questions marked with an asterisks are compulsory.



### (Please also specify the country of tax residence if different)

□ Austria	□ Finland	□ Latvia	□ Romania	
□ Belgium	□ France	□ Lithuania	□ Slovakia	
□ Bulgaria	□ Germany	□ Luxembourg	□ Slovenia	
□ Cyprus	☐ Greece	□ Malta	□ Spain	
☐ Czech Republic	<ul><li>Hungary</li></ul>	□ Netherlands	□ Sweden	
□ Denmark	□ Ireland	□ Poland	☐ United Kingdom	
□ Estonia	□ Italy	□ Portugal	□ Other	
3.1. If you were re occurred, please spe			the double taxation	
□ Austria	□ Finland	□ Latvia	□ Romania	
□ Belgium	☐ France	□ Lithuania	□ Slovakia	
□ Bulgaria	□ Germany	<ul><li>Luxembourg</li></ul>	□ Slovenia	
□ Cyprus	☐ Greece	□ Malta	□ Spain	
☐ Czech Republic	☐ Hungary	□ Netherlands	□ Sweden	
□ Denmark	$\square$ Ireland	□ Poland	☐ United Kingdom	
□ Estonia	□ Italy	□ Portugal	□ Other	
4. In which country	(ies) are/ were yo	u active profession	ally? *	
□ Austria	☐ Finland	□ Latvia	□ Romania	
□ Belgium	☐ France	□ Lithuania	□ Slovakia	
□ Bulgaria	□ Germany	<ul><li>Luxembourg</li></ul>	□ Slovenia	
☐ Cyprus	☐ Greece	□ Malta	□ Spain	
☐ Czech Republic	☐ Hungary	□ Netherlands	□ Sweden	
□ Denmark	$\ \square$ Ireland	□ Poland	☐ United Kingdom	
□ Estonia	□ Italy	□ Portugal	☐ Other	

- 2 -



5. What is the nature of your cross-border income(s)? \*

□ income from immovable property business profits

□ dividends □ interest

□ royalties □ capital gains

☐ income from employment pension

□ other: (please specify) ......

6. Please specify the approximate amount of your cross-border income: \*

..... currency: ......

7. What percentage of your total income(s) is (are) derived from crossborder activities? \*

□ 0.1 - 5%

□ 5 − 15%

□ 15 − 25%

□ 25 − 50% □ 50 − 75% □ 75 − 100%



## Questionnaire for individual taxpayers who have encountered double taxation which has arisen in a cross-border situation

<ol> <li>Have you encountered double taxation which has arisen in a cross-border situation? *</li> </ol>			
□ Yes	□ No		
1.1. If Yes, please indicate how many cases of double taxation in a cross-border situation you have experienced from January 2000 to date? *			
If you wish to report more than one case, please reply "Yes" in your response to Question 11 below and please complete again questions 2 to 10 for each additional case			
2. Which Member States were involved? *			
□ Austria	□ Finland	□ Latvia	□ Romania
□ Belgium	□ France	□ Lithuania	□ Slovakia
□ Bulgaria	□ Germany	□ Luxembourg	□ Slovenia
□ Cyprus	□ Greece	□ Malta	□ Spain
☐ Czech Republic	☐ Hungary	□ Netherlands	□ Sweden
□ Denmark	□ Ireland	□ Poland	☐ United Kingdom
□ Estonia	□ Italy	□ Portugal	
3. Is there a Double Taxation Convention in force between the Member States involved? *			
□ Yes	□ No	☐ Don't know	



4. Please state the reason(s) for the double taxation and the approximate amounts of income, tax, interest and penalties involved *:				
□ conflicts on tax res	sidence			
Amount of income	Amount of tax	Amount of interests & penalties	Currency	
□ conflicts of qualific	ation of income			
Amount of income	Amount of tax	Amount of interests & penalties	Currency	
☐ limitations in credi	t method			
Amount of income	Amount of tax	Amount of interests & penalties	Currency	
□ withholding taxes on portfolio dividends				
Amount of income	Amount of tax	Amount of interests & penalties	Currency	
□ exit taxes				
Amount of income	Amount of tax	Amount of interests & penalties	Currency	
□ application of anti-	-abuse measures			
Amount of income	Amount of tax	Amount of interests & penalties	Currency	



☐ inheritance taxes			
Amount of income	Amount of tax	Amount of interests & penalties	Currency
□ divergent taxation	of pensions in differe	ent states	
Amount of income	Amount of tax	Amount of interests & penalties	Currency
uithholding tax re	lief procedures		
Amount of income	Amount of tax	Amount of interests & penalties	Currency
	city):		
Amount of income	Amount of tax	Amount of interests & penalties	Currency
5. Please give any other relevant details about the cross-border double taxation case(s) and the way it (they) arose (Max 500 words) <sup>2</sup>			
6. Have you sought any remedies to eliminate the cross-border double taxation? *			
□ Yes	_ r	No	

 $^{\rm 2}$  Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary (max 500 words).

- 6 -



# 6.1. If $\it Yes$ , please specify what action you took to eliminate the double taxation: \*

$\ \square$ an appeal to the tax	x authorities in the s	ate of source			
□ an appeal to the tax	x authorities in the s	ate of resider	nce		
$\hfill\Box$ an appeal to a cour	t in the state of sour	ce			
$\hfill\Box$ an appeal to a cour	t in the state of resic	ence			
☐ an initiation of a Convention	a mutual agreemer	it procedure	under a	Double	Taxation
□ other (max 500 work	rds)				
6.2. If <i>No</i> , please ex	cplain why you did	not seek any	/ remedie	s: *	
☐ I had insufficient re	sources to launch an	action			
□ too time consuming	J				
□ no expectation of su	uccessful result				
□ not enough informa	tion on the possibilit	ies of remedy			
□ other (max 500 wo	ords)				
7. If Yes to question	ı 6: Has the proced	ure you initi	ated beer	closed?	*
☐ Yes, and the double	e taxation was entire	y eliminated			
☐ Yes, but the double			ially (spec	ify perce	ntage).
□ < 10%	□ 30 − 40%				
□ 10 − 20%	□ 40 <b>-</b> 50%	□ 70 <b>-</b> 80°	%		
□ 20 − 30%	□ 50 − 60%	□ 80 <b>-</b> 90°	%		
☐ Yes, but the double	taxation was not eli	minated at all			
☐ No, the procedure is	s ongoing.				





10. Is there any other rele 500 words)	vant information you would like to share? <i>(max</i>
11. Would you like to report	on more cases of double taxation?
□Yes	□No
If you wish to report more to 10 for each additional case	han one case, please complete again questions 2 se.

Thank you for your contribution to this public consultation!