

#### **PUBLIC CONSULTATION PAPER**

# <u>Double Tax Conventions and the Internal Market:</u> <u>factual examples of double taxation cases</u>

### Identification of the stakeholder – for corporate taxpayers

Name:						
(See also privacy statement and data protection statement. Neither your name nor your contribution will be published unless you so consent.)						
1. Do you agree to having your response to the consultation published along with other responses? $\mathbf{*}^{1}$						
□ Yes	Yes   No					
2. Do you agree to having your name mentioned as one of the contributors to the consultation?*						
□ Yes		□ No				
3. In which country are you a resident? *						
□ Austria	□ Finland	□ Latvia	□ Romania			
□ Belgium	□ France	□ Lithuania	□ Slovakia			
□ Bulgaria	□ Germany	□ Luxembourg	□ Slovenia			
□ Cyprus	□ Greece	□ Malta	□ Spain			
☐ Czech Republic	☐ Hungary	□ Netherlands	□ Sweden			
□ Denmark	□ Ireland	□ Poland	☐ United Kingdom			
□ Estonia	□ Italy	□ Portugal	□ Other			

 $<sup>^{\</sup>scriptsize 1}$  All questions marked with an asterisks are compulsory.



3.1.	If	you	were	resident	in	а	different	country	when	the	double	taxation
occu	rre	d, pl	ease s	specify in	wł	nic	h one.					

□ Austria	☐ Finland	□ Latvia	□ Romania
□ Belgium	□ France	□ Lithuania	□ Slovakia
□ Bulgaria	□ Germany	□ Luxembourg	□ Slovenia
□ Cyprus	□ Greece	□ Malta	□ Spain
☐ Czech Republic	☐ Hungary	□ Netherlands	□ Sweden
□ Denmark	□ Ireland	□ Poland	☐ United Kingdom
□ Estonia	□ Italy	□ Portugal	□ Other
4. Name the cou	ntry(ies) where yo	our group/compan	y is active: *
□ Austria	□ Finland	□ Latvia	□ Romania
□ Belgium	□ France	□ Lithuania	□ Slovakia
□ Bulgaria	□ Germany	□ Luxembourg	□ Slovenia
☐ Cyprus	□ Greece	□ Malta	□ Spain
☐ Czech Republic	☐ Hungary	□ Netherlands	□ Sweden
□ Denmark	□ Ireland	□ Poland	☐ United Kingdom
□ Estonia	□ Italy	□ Portugal	□ Other
5. What is the size	ze of your group/c	ompany within the	e EU? *
a) Number of em	ployees:		
□ 1 - 10	□ 11 − 50	□ 51 − 250	□ 251 – 500
□ 501 − 1000	□ 1001 – 2000	□ over 2000	
b) Total turnover	· in:	currency:	
c) Number of ent	ities within the EU	J	

- 2 -



## Questionnaire for corporate taxpayers who have encountered double taxation which has arisen in a cross-border situation

1. Has your company/company within a group encountered any disputes concerning double taxation which has arisen in a cross-border situation? $*$						
□ Yes □ No						
1.1. If Yes, please indicate how many cases of disputes concerning double taxation in a cross-border situation you have experienced from January 2000 to date? *						
If you wish to report more than one case, please reply "Yes" in your response to Question 11 below and please complete again questions 2 to 10 for each additional case						
2. Which Member	2. Which Member States were involved? *					
□ Austria	☐ Finland	□ Latvia	□ Romania			
□ Belgium	☐ France	□ Lithuania	□ Slovakia			
□ Bulgaria	☐ Germany	□ Luxembourg	□ Slovenia			
☐ Cyprus	☐ Greece	□ Malta	☐ Spain			
☐ Czech Republic	☐ Hungary	□ Netherlands	□ Sweden			
□ Denmark	□ Ireland	□ Poland	☐ United Kingdom			
□ Estonia	□ Italy	□ Portugal				
3. Is there a Double Tax Convention in force between the States involved? *						
□ Yes	□ No	□ Don't kno	w			



4. Please state the reason(s) for the double taxation and the approximate amounts of income, tax, interest and penalties involved: *						
□ conflicts on tax res	sidence					
Amount of income	Amount of tax	Amount of interests & penalties	Currency			
☐ a dispute over the	existence of a perma	nent establishment				
Amount of income	Amount of tax	Amount of interests & penalties	Currency			
□ conflicts of qualific	ation of income					
Amount of income	Amount of tax	Amount of interests & penalties	Currency			
□ conflicts in the me	thods and rules for co	emputing business pro	fits			
Amount of income	Amount of tax	Amount of interests & penalties	Currency			
□ conflicts in the rules for attributing profits to a permanent establishment						
Amount of income	Amount of tax	Amount of interests & penalties	Currency			
□ conflicts in transfe	r prices					
Amount of income	Amount of tax	Amount of interests & penalties	Currency			



#### ☐ different entity classification Amount of income Amount of interests Amount of tax Currency & penalties □ limitations of credit method Amount of income Amount of tax Amount of interests Currency & penalties □ exit taxes Amount of income Amount of interests Amount of tax Currency & penalties □ application of domestic anti-abuse measures Amount of income Amount of tax Amount of interests Currency & penalties □ timing differences Amount of income Amount of tax Amount of interests Currency & penalties □ other (please specify) ..... Amount of tax Amount of income Amount of interests Currency & penalties

- 5 -



5. Please give any other relevant details about the cross-border double taxation case(s) and the way it (they) arose $(max\ 500\ words)^2$					
6. Have you sought any remedies to eliminate the cross-border double taxation? $\boldsymbol{\ast}$					
□ Yes □ No					
6.1. If $Yes$ , please specify what action you took to eliminate the double taxation: *					
$\hfill\Box$ an appeal to the tax authorities in the state of source					
$\ \square$ an appeal to the tax authorities in the state of residence					
□ an appeal to a court in the state of source					
□ an appeal to a court in the state of residence					
$\hfill\Box$ an initiation of a mutual agreement procedure under a Double Taxation Convention					
$\hfill \square$ an initiation of a mutual agreement procedure under the EU Transfer Pricing Arbitration Convention					
□ other (max 500 words)					
6.2. If No, please explain why you did not seek any remedies: *					
□ I had insufficient resources to launch an action					
□ too time consuming					
□ no expectation of successful result					
□ not enough information on the possibilities of remedy					
□ other (max 500 words)					

<sup>2</sup> Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary (max 500 words)



7. If <i>Yes</i> to question 6: Has the procedure you initiated been closed? *						
•	le taxation was entire	•				
☐ Yes, but the double taxation was eliminated only partially (specify percentage)						
□ < 10%	□ 30 − 40%	□ 60 − 70%	□ 90 - 100%			
□ 10 − 20%	□ 40 − 50%	□ 70 − 80%				
□ 20 − 30%	□ 50 − 60%	□ 80 − 90%				
☐ Yes, but the doubl☐ No, the procedure	e taxation was not eli is ongoing	minated at all				
7.1. If $Yes$ , please describe the outcome in more detail including the time taken in months and any compensation you received in respect of the time it took to reach a solution $(max\ 500\ words)$ *						
Time taken: m	onths					
7.2. If $No$ , please provide the reasons and indicate since when your case has been open and, if you know this, when you expect it to be resolved. (max 500 words) *						
Case open: (month and year)						
Case resolved: (month and year)						
8. What were the approximate costs involved in the elimination of the cross-border double taxation?						
Legal costs	Administrative costs	Other	Currency			

- 7 -



			suggest ways for the thin the EU (max 500
10. Is there any o 500 words)	ther relevant infor	mation you wou	ld like to share? (max
11. Would you like	to report on more	cases of double t	axation?
□ Yes	□ No		
If you wish to repo to 10 for each addi		ase, please comp	olete again questions 2

Thank you for your contribution to this public consultation!